

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler has issued a Report and Recommendation in which she recommends this Court grant the Motion for Default Judgment filed by Plaintiffs The Board of Trustees, in their capacities as Trustees of the Cement Masons Health and Welfare Trust Fund for Northern California, Cement Masons Pension Trust Fund for Northern California, Cement Masons Vacation/Holiday Trust Fund for Northern California, Cement Masons Apprenticeship and Training Trust Fund for Northern California ("Plaintiffs") against KMA Concrete Construction Co. ("Defendant") and enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs. ECF No. 17. No objections have been filed. Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation, the

1 Court finds it to be correct, well-reasoned, and thorough, and  
2 therefore ADOPTS it in every respect. Accordingly, Plaintiffs'  
3 Motion for Default Judgment against Defendant is GRANTED; Defendant  
4 is ORDERED to pay Plaintiffs \$80,014.42 in delinquent  
5 contributions, \$4,350 in liquidated damages, \$25,954.78 in  
6 interest, \$816.25 in costs, and \$9,478.75 in attorneys' fees.

7 FURTHER, as requested by Plaintiffs and in accordance with the  
8 parties' Master Agreement, Defendant is ORDERED to submit to an  
9 audit of its payroll records for the period of January 1, 2007 to  
10 the present, at the direction of Plaintiffs, so that Plaintiffs may  
11 determine the accurate amounts of any additional delinquency. If  
12 the initial audit of the payroll records does not provide enough  
13 information to determine whether or not an additional delinquency  
14 exists, then Defendant must submit to a further audit, at the  
15 direction of Plaintiffs, of whatever records or accounts exist in  
16 order to determine the amount of the delinquency. Upon completion  
17 of the audit, Plaintiffs may file another submission detailing the  
18 additional damages (apart from the amount granted in this Order),  
19 if any, that Plaintiffs have deemed appropriate to be assessed  
20 against Defendant for the period of January 1, 2007 to the present.  
21 The Court will then determine if the requested relief is  
22 appropriate.

23

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25

26 Dated: September 8, 2011

  
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
28